

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2 DISTRICT OF NEVADA

3 * * *

4 JAWVAN E. COOK,

5 Plaintiff,

6 v.

7 LAS VEGAS METROPOLITAN POLICE
8 DEPARTMENT,

9 Defendant.

Case No. 2:16-cv-00256-APG-CWH

**ORDER ON REPORT AND
RECOMMENDATION**

(ECF. No. 21)

10 On September 29, 2017, Magistrate Judge Hoffman entered a report and recommendation
11 that I dismiss this case with prejudice because plaintiff Jawvan Cook has ceased participating in
12 the case and has not complied with the court's orders. Cook did not file an objection. Thus, I am
13 not obligated to conduct a de novo review of the report and recommendation. 28 U.S.C.
14 § 636(b)(1) (requiring district courts to "make a de novo determination of those portions of the
15 report or specified proposed findings to which objection is made"); *United States v. Reyna-Tapia*,
16 328 F.3d 1114, 1121 (9th Cir. 2003) (en banc) ("the district judge must review the magistrate
17 judge's findings and recommendations de novo *if objection is made*, but not otherwise" (emphasis
18 in original)). I nevertheless conducted a de novo review. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Judge Hoffman
19 sets forth the proper legal analysis and factual basis for the decision.

20 IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that Judge Hoffman's report and recommendation (ECF
21 No. 21) is accepted. Plaintiff Jawvan Cook's complaint is DISMISSED with prejudice.

22 DATED this 21st day of November, 2017.

23
24
25 
26 ANDREW P. GORDON
27 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
28